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For if his sake once be assur'd, revenge on them to take,  
Which do our sovereign prince in love, like beasty beastslocale:  
'Tis not the cruelanny rage, nor gathered force of these  
Yet the crooked cradling ladies, of greasy gloved fists,  
Can make him to retrace the thing, his bounty hath extolled  
But that some Justice must proceed, yea, suit those that have offended.

For Mars will see the final end, of furious wag'd wares,  
To pluck the hares of rebellion yoke, that leap'd o'er the fences.  
To sel'den when guarded by desert, by rigour of his blade,  
And what the same to gait their hares, which tush oppos'd have made.  
A crooked is the wagon right, most perfect to be seen  
Which she with the fatal end arising, of rebellion to our Queen.
'Aspice spectator sic me docuere parentes':
aesthetico-political misprision in Derricke's
A Discoverie of Woodkarne

Thomas Cartelli

In *Drama, Performance, and Polity in Pre-Cromwellian Ireland* (2000), Alan Fletcher offers the possibility of variant readings of a provocative section of one of John Derricke’s more notorious woodcuts in *A Discoverie of Woodkarne*, the set of captioned illustrations appended to his *Image of Irelande* (1581). Though Fletcher does not expressly claim that the behaviour of the two bare-bottomed kern in the lower right corner of Plate III of the *Discoverie* (Figure 16.1) is designedly flatulent rather than excremental in orientation – as, for example, Ncrhs Patterson does when she identifies them as *braigetoir* or *braigetori* and as Vincent Carey assumes in ‘Icons of Atrocity’ – his exhaustive knowledge of the varied ensemble of presenters and entertainments on offer in early modern Irish banquet settings leads him to qualify the grosser form of negative ethnic stereotyping in which Derricke may be implicitly (or expressly) engaging. As Fletcher writes:

The explanation of what these men were up to is not necessarily so readily apparent as it may at first seem. A scene of public defecation, if that is what it really was intended to be, would certainly have handed Derricke’s English readers a convenient epitome of Irish lack of couth. ... But the men in Derricke’s woodcut do not adopt the hunkering position described by Captain Josias Bedley only a few years later, nor is excrement depicted. If xenophobia haunts the woodcut, it may be that it does so rather more subtly. It is not utterly beyond possibility that the bare-bottomed posturers are meant to be entertainers, this time dealers in low humour, of whom gentle society should doubtless not approve, but for rather different reasons: ‘Aspice spectator sic me docuere parentes’ (‘See, viewer, thus did my parents teach me’) declares one of them in a self-justification that becomes a self-discommendation.

As he proceeds, Fletcher deploys a ‘diagram of the *Tech Midchuaarda*, the medieval banquetting hall of Tara, pictured in its earliest versions in the Book of Leinster’, as an interpretive index to help him read other texts, artefacts, and ‘traces of a variety of Gaelic performing art that could appropriately be called dramatic’. He notes, for example, that the banquetting hall’s seating plan flatteringly places a class of professional entertainer called *braigetori* ("farters") at the lower end of the near-right rank next to the *druth rig* ("royal jester" [or] "king’s jester") in the process of describing a broad, frequently overlapping array of skilled performers (jugglers, clowns, contortionists) inclusive of entertainers devoted to the art of farting. More pertinent still is Fletcher’s identification of the frequent association of ‘the practice of satire in early Gaelic society [...] with the *druth* and with other species of Gaelic entertainer like the *braigetoir*.’ At an earlier stage of Derricke scholarship, D.B. Quinn could claim that our
presumptive *braigetori* are rather 'relieving themselves' in a picture 'mainly intended as a satire on Irish residual primitiveness'. Fletcher - claiming 'not only satire's heavy investment in scurrility [...] but also that its delivery might often have been [...] a more highly wrought and energetic affair than mere recitation' - indicates satire's *embeddedness* in the behaviour on display, which is likely directed by one set of Irish performers (the *braigetori*) against another, the reciter and the harpist. As Valerie Allen notes, 'Much of such entertainment [was] mere buffoonery, as [Derrick's depiction] of the rude, mooning Irish suggests, but butt humor also sustains satire', remarking how in a 'late-eleventh century Irish tale', a single performer 'began clowning for [his] host ... and satirizing and farting and singing songs'. Indeed, the mistaken impression Derrick may have wished to convey by visually conflating the image of artful farting with artless defecation may well have been compounded by Derrick's own incapacity to discern, or appreciate, the *braigetoir's* satiric aim or intent, and, with it, aspects of Gaelic cultural practices alien to his English Protestant sensibility.
Whether he drew the contents of his 'images' and 'discoveries' from first-hand observation or indirect report – either experiencing for himself, or merely hearing about, the rough music fashioned by self-identifying braigetori – we have no reason to believe that Derricke was a good faith (much less accurate) recorder of Irish social and cultural practices. With respect to the plate in question, we have, moreover, just as little reason to assume that braigetori would have performed their art bare-bummed as that the song and music of the bard and harpist would be conducted by a twice-imaged tonsured priest (a subject I return to), or that so seemingly dissonant an ensemble as priest, harpist, bard, and braigetori would 'perform' together in the first place in such a 'Guerinca-like' confabulation. Yet as Allen pointedly observes, "The musical butt is ubiquitous in the Middle Ages, and presupposes a larger analogy between the human body and musical instruments, supplying as an example 'The fourteenth-century English Luttrell Psalter [which] offers a bizarre conglomerate of man-instrument, part two-legged animal and part bagpipe, with a human face at the ends of the chanter and the drone.' Allen supplies the following detailed description of this image (Figure 16.2):

The regally crowned head at the top plays a shawm-like pipe, of discrete size. At the other end is the cowled head of a peasant, who blows a huge shawm, cheeks bulging. The legs turn the bagpipe into an animal, with the chanter as the head and the drone as the butt ... By functioning here as a drone, the large shawm produces continuous sound ... thunderous and incessant wind issues from the churlish backside of the biped bagpipe, threatening with its clamor to drown the finer voice of the chanter. This, we may imagine, closely resembles how the sounds issuing from the butts of the two braigetori threaten to drown the voice of the chanter and the more pleasing music of the harp in Derricke's illustration.

Operating within the constraints of a largely visual art form (supplemental captions notwithstanding), Derricke, of course, had to forgo replicating the action-specific sonic register of his diachronically rendered picture, relying largely on physical detail to identify the behaviour
of the farters (or defecators) in question. But Derrick's mixed-media art form was no more exclusively silent than most latter-day pieces of cartoon art (or comix) are. Though Fletcher tells us that comparative visual precedents suggest that the two individuals are not positioned to indicate defecation, Derricke arguably chooses to court that very possibility by failing to add an identifying caption embodying or suggesting a fart's sound to the one that he does choose to append to this illustration. Through the sarcastic, defamatory tenor of this caption, which is directly addressed by each kern (or braigetor) in turn to their extramural English beholders – 'Aspice spectator sic me docuere parentes / Me quoque maiores omnes, virtute caretes' ('See, beholder, this is how my parents taught me / All my worthless ancestors taught me that too') – Derricke effectively prompts his readers to glean the least savory impression (why, after all, would one need to be bare-bummed to express the characteristically muffled sound made by a fart?), in the process transforming a work of transparently biased, primitive ethnography into a cleverly crafted act of testamentary misprision. And this despite allowing the presumptive defecating kern a perfect command of rhyming Latin fourteeners as they engage in reputedly 'plain Irish' behavior.14

In the face of such jarring collisions between factitiousness and proposed fact, the plate nonetheless seeks to indicate the narrative/dramatic continuity of each of its constituent parts, as if all are integral components of the aesthetico-political design on display. Note especially the crucial role played by Derricke's twinned, twice-gesturing priest as inciter, motivator, ideologist, and conductor of Irish cultural difference and defiance.15 As Derricke's supplemental text observes:

These thieves attend upon the fire for serving up the feast,
And Friar Smellfeast sneaking in, does press amongst the best.
Who plays in Romish toys the Ape, by counterfeiting Paul;
For which they do award him then, the highest room of all.
Who being set, because the cheer is deemed little worth,
Except the same be intermixed and laced with Irish mirth.
Both Bard and Harper is prepared, which by their cunning art,
Do strike and cheer up all the guests with comfort at the heart.16

The additional commentary that vertically creeps in to highlight or explain the braigetor's performance is notably spelled out in a language at once alien to the performers themselves – who are presumed to speak these lines or at least to serve as conduits of their engravers' ventriloquism – but more easily attributable to the priest who is represented here as elsewhere specifically, in the caption and the images it supplements in the next plate on display – as the inciter and sanctifier of the Irish lord's acts of theft, violence, and aggression. As the narrating text adds:

Mark what ensues, a plain discourse of Irish sleights I tell:
The friar then absolves the thief, from all his former sin,
And bids him plague the prince's friends, if heaven he mind to win.
Which being said, he takes his horse, to put in practice then,
The spoil and destroying of her grace's loyal men.17

Of course, the true, originary source of the words themselves, and the sentiments expressed, is 'John Derricke', the engraver, designer, author, and first begetter of the illustration itself: a fact which reflects the purpose or aim of the ensemble of other texts and illustrations of which this text and illustration form (and play) an integral part.
The starting point of this chapter is Derrick's representation of conspicuously primitive behaviour by participants in an outdoor feast in Plate III of A Discoverie of Woodkarne. But rather than seeking merely to affect the displacement of uncivil defectors by slightly more civil braigetori in Derrick's graphic narrative, I want to explore more broadly here Derrick's engagement in aesthetico-political misprision in his other visual (and verbal) representations of uncivil kern, Irish bards, friars, and lords alike. I especially want to address Derrick's penchant for designing woodcuts that stage sequential actions in single and polyscenic frames, which, as in the present instance, invite viewers to assume or imagine both continuous connections and discontinuous collisions between juxtaposed actions or events. In so doing, I plan to apply to these scenes an understanding of the 'aesthetico-political' – a term Jacques Rancière and others employ to identify aesthetic transactions that cross and complicate established orders of reason and channels of perception – that mainly signifies a politically motivated and ideologically informed aesthetic choice, premised on strategic acts of misrepresentation.18 In Derrick's case, these deformations operate both on the level of idealization (of Sir Henry Sidney and his fellow Englishmen) and of demonization (of the Irish) to appeal to already established English assumptions of religious and cultural superiority, while promoting or intensifying their application to the English reader's understanding of Ireland and the native Irish.

The polyscenic narrative illustration that immediately precedes the feasting scene dramatizes a cattle raid on what is represented as either a native Irish or old English settlement, which helps supply both the meat and occasion for the celebratory banquet visualized and described in Plate III and its legend, respectively (see Plate II: Discoverie of Woodkarne). The kern are first positioned – as mapped by the letter [A] – in close military-ordered ranks, led on by a piper. But as letter [B] indicates, their quarry is not an equally well-ordered troop of English soldiers, but a seemingly terrified pair of Old English or native Irish landholders, whose home and barns are set ablaze by kern whose arms are artfully represented as extended torches. Section [C] portrays the victorious kern leading their captured horses and cattle away, presumably in the direction of the lord of Plate III (identified elsewhere in Derrick's text as 'Mac Swine', presumably a member, or head, of the MacSweeny clan),19 who will happily preside over a banquet underwritten by one or more of the butchered cattle.

Plate IV, which succeeds the banquet 'discoverie', presumably dramatizes the same feasting lord's subsequent defeat at the hands of a well-armed band of English soldiers evidently sent (or seeking) to redress the raid on the settlement (dramatized in Plate II). What seems to be the same priest – who appears both sitting and standing in the same section [B] of the banquet scene in Plate III, in both instances seemingly giving directives to the bard or reciter and his harpist consort – appears here in three of the four letter-marked, diachronic sequences.20 He is twice seen blessing the lord – who is kneeling in scene [A], on horseback in scene [B] – prior to the lord's engagement with English troops. In his last appearance, the priest is the central figure in a tableau in the image's lower right corner (marked [D]), lamenting over – in captioned words that read 'Ough ough hone', that is, 'Ochone', a commonplace Gaelic expression of grief or regret – the seemingly lifeless figure of the mortally wounded lord, whose body is carefully held aloft (or being lowered to the ground) at the shoulders and feet by two loyal, surviving kern (Figure 16.3).

For his part, the lord himself appears four (arguably five) times in the space of the plate's five image clusters. Once [A] the lord is on his knees receiving blessing from the priest. Twice he is on horseback [B], again receiving blessing from the priest and then, with sword drawn, leading his forces into battle. In his fourth appearance in [D], as noted above, the lifeless body of the
lodge is ministered to by the priest and two kern (Figure 16.3). But his body also unaccountably
seems to appear again in the form of a similarly dressed but headless trunk with blood pouring
from the stump of his neck, positioned immediately below and in an inverted direction from
his freshly killed corpse (Figure 16.4). It is, of course, uncertain whether this image is intended
to evoke the imminent mutilation of the same lord's body at the hands of the English, or simply
intended to represent another, anonymous kern's body in order to indicate the extent of the
day's slaughter of the lord's party. But given Derricke's penchant for making diachronic events
seem visually synchronic – as in the Banquet scene in Plate III where the same priest appears
both standing and seated in the same image cluster – it may not be amiss to assume he is at once
marking here the immediacy of punitive justice visited on the rebellious Irish by their English
overlords, while supplying his viewer with an anticipatory identifier of one of the heads that
will be carried off in triumph in the ensuing Plate V.
This last plate, and the verbal commentary appended to it, offers perhaps the best example of the rebound effect generated by the unreflective reflexivity of Derrick's art of aesthetico-political misprision:

And though the prey recovered be, yet are not all things ended:
For why: the soldiers do pursue, the Rogues that have offended.
Who never cease till in the blood, of these light fingered thieves.
Their blades are bathed to teach them how, they after prowl for Beeves.
To see a soldier toze a Kern, O Lord it is a wonder:
And eke what care he takes to part, the head from neck a sunder.
To see another lead a thief, with such a lordly grace:
And for to mark how loathe the knave, does follow in that case.
To see how trim their glibbed heads, are borne by valiant men,
And guarded with a royal sort, of worthy soldiers then.

Derrick's casually obscene use of the verb 'toze' (historically, a word meaning to tear, disentangle, or pull apart violently, almost exclusively used to describe the shearing of sheep) to describe the separation of a kern's head from his body, expedited by the holding of the kern's glib in the soldier's left hand, highlights both the craft and callousness of the act of decapitation, which, at the time, was more often than not attributed to the Irish as a characterizing cultural practice. Indeed, as Patricia Palmer has recently noted, not only do we see here – as the sequence unwinds – the triumphal parading of two males' heads at the end of two soldiers' swordspoints, but also the Medusa-like display of a woman's head at the far right, lower-end of the panel (Figures 16.5 and 16.6).21

As Palmer observes, 'Derrick invites us to “see how trimme their glibbed heads are borne,” the two males “impaled on blood-spattered swords held aloft by a duo of nonchalant soldiers” as a third “soldier, making a moue of distaste, carries [the head of a woman] by the hair [while] blood still spouts from her neck.”22 Palmer goes no further toward identifying the woman in question than to follow Ken Nicholls's suggestion that she may well be 'Margaret Byrne, wife of Rory O'More', whose own head speaks in extended ventriloquy towards the end of Derrick's image,23 thereby breaking with the established association of the cattle raiders with the MacSweeney clan.24 But I wonder whether a more graphically intramural accounting of the identity of the woman might be intended, given the possibility that she represents the wife of the lord in the banquet scene, enjoying the fruits of the kern's cattle, and then suffering with him the pain of the successful English counter-assault. This 'discoverie' has the benefit of rendering internally coherent the generic allegory Derrick is inscribing both on the level of narrative and visual portrayal. It closes the circle begun in the first of Derrick's woodcuts where preparation for the ill-fated cattle raid is depicted in the form of the arming of the 'trimly dressed' lord who is destined soon to lose all he holds dear, including his own and his wife's head:25 an event, which, ironically, visually replicates Rory Og's poignant recounting of how 'My spoused wife, the garland of my youth,' was 'put to sword' by Sidney's troops in an oddly sympathetic turn in the ventriloquized self-portrait of Rory that Derrick engraves on the penultimate page of his volume.

The closing of this circle also helps elide the extent to which the prospect of English soldiers 'glibly parading the heads of Irish men and women contradicts received ideas of decapitation as a predominantly (if not peculiarly) Irish cultural practice. Since representation in this kind is a one-way street, proceeding from the English 'witness' to the unwitting (but presumably
Figure 16.5 Detail from Derricke, Plate V.

Figure 16.6 Detail, Plate V.
equally biased) English viewer, Derricke could deploy the beheadings of members of a generic Irish raiding party – all of whom may be conveniently construed as 'MacSwines' rather than MacSweeney’s – as extreme gestures answerable to the extreme actions that provoked them. Moreover, he could so without worrying that English readers would have acquainted themselves with the fact that beheadings of the sort displayed here, as well as punitive and pre-emptive massacres of entire domestic settlements, were well-established English military and civil practices in Ireland, recounted in page after page of campaign journals inscribed by the like of Humphrey Gilbert and William Pelham in addition to Sir Henry Sidney, which were inaccessible to civilian readers.26

Derricke’s interest in sequential narrative design, both within and between woodcuts, essentially ends with the recorded defeat of the cattle-raiding kern and successive decapitations: one in process, two already ‘processed’ – the heads having been impaled on swords – and one rawly left in the form of the bleeding female head held by its hair. Derricke’s scene next shifts to Dublin where a uniformly more seemly display of heads graces Sir Henry Sidney’s headquarters at Dublin Castle, and where Sidney and his soldiery are represented in more rigorously detailed, panoramic ‘single shot’ (unitary) display instead of in an early modern version of polycylic montage. Indeed, Derricke indulges in only one additional ‘action’ sequence through the next five frames, no one of which (except, perhaps, for the ninth Plate) is either polycylic or divided into successive diachronic clusters. Indeed, whereas the first group of five woodcuts, which centers on the kern’s cattle raid and the successful punitive mission led against it by the English, may be said to be representational, all but one of the five woodcuts that follow (devoted to Sidney’s triumphs), are predominantly representational, bringing the overwhelming discipline, numbers, habiliments, weaponry, and sheer might of the English soldiery, and the authority, dignity, and command of Sidney himself into prominent and flattering display. As James A. Knapp (2001) observes: ‘While four of the first five cuts (depicting the kern) contain a sequence of events in the same frame (keyed to the lettered legends), the next five illustrations memorialize moments in Sidney’s deputyship. While together they convey a narrative account of Sidney’s campaign against the kern, each individual cut is temporally constrained’ (238) in a manner that renders them determinately emblematic. Only Plate IX displays an action sequence of Sidney’s forces in battle against the Irish. But the battle presented is so unequal that Sidney’s cavalry – which occupies close to a third of the left and right parts of the frame – looks on utterly becalmed atop their mounts as the rest of the English put the Irish to flight in the center-rear and foreground of the frame; they do so in a manner that visually embodies a relaxation of effort entirely at odds with Sidney’s own accounts of tireless exertions against an intractable enemy, as recounted in his memoirs of service and letters to Sir Francis Walsingham and the Privy Council (Plate IX).27

In short, the ethos of the first five woodcuts is disorder, that of the ensuing five order, with the additional two woodcuts Derricke possibly added at the last minute featuring the lonely, posthumous complaint of Rory O’More in the wild forest and the submission of Turlough Luineach O’Neill in Sidney’s orderly encampment effectively echoing and replicating in couplet form the parallel format of the preceding ten. While all of Derricke’s print and picture ensembles are biased in the interest of degrading the disorderly Irish and honoring the orderly English, particularly Lord Deputy Sidney, the form and format of their presentation aim to produce different effects in their viewers consistent with their different aesthetic-political design. The four sequential, generally polycylic woodcuts that follow Derricke’s arguably emblematic representation of the Irish lord in the first plate of his series not only prompt viewers to unpack
the sequence of constitutive actions embedded in each successive frame, but encourage them
to reconstitute (by means of interpretation) the ‘event sequences’ with the help of their accom-
panying captions or legends. This process would seem to be straightforward were it not for the
several sections of the ‘discoveries’ that are left unremarked or unidentified by their accom-
panying caption. For example, who exactly are the victims of the cattle raid in Plate II, Old English
or native Irish, and what difference does knowing that make? What exactly are the presumed
braigetori doing in Plate III, and how does what they are doing relate to the song being sung by
the bard or reciter? Why the doubled image of the same priest, and who is that fellow sitting
at the upper right end of the table, and what is he looking at? More pressing, perhaps, would
be the bewilderment of Derrick’s more inquisitive viewers in trying to ascertain what that
second headless body is doing below that of the dying or dead lord in Plate IV. Is this an early
modern example of mise-en-abime? And if so, how might it reflect back on the doubled image
of the priest? And what was the mortal identity of the seemingly female head being so gingerly
handled in Plate V? What is the effect on the viewer in merely perceiving her identity as a
woman?

Andie Silva suggestively attributes such prompting of multiple interpretive options for illustra-
tions and accompanying captions’ alike to Derrick’s creation of a multimodal text that in
many ways transcends the print medium itself – something akin to early modern “hypertext”: I
am tempted to concur. However, the active viewing prompted by these indeterminacies is,
in turn, contested by the implied or embedded bias of Derrick’s use of what we today would
recognize as montage, here presented as editing within the frame. Derrick’s irregular, doctored
deployment of multiple priest figures in Plates III and IV, for example, plainly seeks to persuade
the viewer to absorb the crucial role played by ubiquitous priestly agency in determining the
behavior of the Irish lord and his raiding party, thus closing down rather than opening up
avenues of interpretation. And the sequence editing of Plates II–IV insistently calls attention to
the narrative and dramatic continuity of each of the plates’ individual parts, as if all are com-
plicitous with one another in producing the degraded and degrading behaviors on display. The
editing specifically interpellates priests but also bards and musicians as motivators, recorders,
ideologues, and celebrators of Irish cultural difference and of their lords’ political defiance, and,
possibly, identifies defectors or braigetori as embodiments of Ireland’s degraded humanity.

As opposed to these ‘single pictures showing different events and persons in the same picto-
rial space … “continuous narratives” or cases of “simultaneous succession,” [in which] various
phases in an event series are represented simultaneously, Derrick generally represents the
Lord Deputy in ‘static, monoscopic’ illustrations ‘linked in a narrative series having a fixed
reading order.’ Rather than editing within the frame, Derrick effectively edits between frames.
And rather than carefully linking the pieces of a plainly continuous chain as in four of his first
five woodcuts, he supplies a sufficiently easy-to-follow sequence of separate, fully delineated
establishing shots, in which all necessary information is included in each individual frame.
Moving from cut to cut, as it were, from one speaking picture to another, without requiring
more intervening explanation than his narrating captions supply, Derrick presents fully for-
mulated events that require no explanation to be understood. He presents whole, rigorously
ordered scenes reflective of a perfectly integrated world and worldview. The most static of these
is, predictably, the fifth and last in the series of five he devotes to Sidney’s exemplary modesty
and generosity, as he bends to congratulate the first in a diagonally ascending line of subordi-
nates for a job well done (Plate X). That this job – like so many others Sidney ordered and exec-
cuted during his three terms as Lord Deputy – more often than not involved shows of force and
brutality wildly disproportionate to those displayed by the rebellious Irish themselves, scenes of mass killings that would likely make the most hardened Elizabethan viewer blanch, is nowhere evident here. Abetted by a highlighted caption that reads ‘O Sidney worthy of triple renown, / For plaguing the traitors that troubled the crown’, this quintessential act of aesthetico-political misprision countenances no indeterminacy at all.
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